**2**006/007

Appln. No. 10/006,757 Amendment dated July 1, 2004 Reply to Office action dated June 2, 2004

**2**301 227 1988

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The foregoing amendments to the claims are submitted in response to the first Office action in order to place the application in condition for allowance by overcoming the rejections of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and the objection to claims 4 and 5, as stated on pages 2-3 of the Office action.

The rejections of claims 1-3 as stated in the Office action are overcome by cancellation of such claims. As to claims 4 and 5, objected to as respectively dependent from rejected base claims 3 and 1, they have been recast in independent form without substantive change by incorporating therein the statements of their cancelled base claims. The allowance of amended claims 4 and 5 together with allowed claims 6-10 is therefore expected.

New claims 11 and 12 now submitted, patentably distinguish over the prior art of record as applied in the Office action by virtue limitations therein corresponding to those set forth in the allowed claims 4-10. Thus, claim 11 from which claims 12 depends specifies: "means for internally shaping said cavities by inflation". Dependent claim 12 emphasizes the latter quoted distinguishing recitation of parent claim 11 by specifying: "said inflation is effected by inflow of radar permeable foam".

12:58

Appln. No. 10/006,757 Amendment dated June 23, 2004 Reply to Office action dated June 2, 2004

In view of the foregoing a formal allowance of the present application based on claims 4-12 is in order and is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectively submitted,

YACOB SHUSTER, Reg. No. 19,660

Attorney for Applicants

Tele: 301 227-11835
OFFICE OF COUNSEL CODE 39
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER
CARDEROCK DIVISON HEADQUARTERS
DAVID TAYLOR MODEL BASIN
9500 MACARTHUR BOULEVARD
WEST BETHESDA, MD 20817-5700